TAO: System for Table Detection and Extraction from PDF Documents

Martha O. Perez-Arriaga, Trilce Estrada, and Soraya Abad-Mota

Departments of Computer Science, and Electrical and Computer Engineering University of New Mexico {marperez, estrada, soraya}@cs.unm.edu

Abstract

Digital documents present knowledge in most areas of study, exchanging and communicating information in a portable way. To better use the knowledge embedded in an ever-growing information source, effective tools for automatic information extraction are needed. Tables are crucial information elements in documents of scientific nature. Most publications use tables to represent and report concrete findings of research. Current methods used to extract table data from PDF documents lack precision in detecting, extracting, and representing data from diverse layouts.

We present the system *TAble Organization (TAO)* to automatically detect, extract and organize information from tables in PDF documents. TAO uses a processing, based on the k-nearest neighbor method and layout heuristics, to detect tables within a document and to extract table information. This system generates an enriched representation of the data extracted from tables in the PDF documents. TAO's performance is comparable to other table extraction methods, but it overcomes some related work limitations and proves to be more robust in experiments with diverse document layouts.

1 Introduction

Scientific digital documents allow us to present and share information in different areas of knowledge. The ever-growing number of documents available on the Internet makes it difficult to analyze them at the same pace they are produced. The myriad topics and ways in which digital documents present the information hinder the extraction and management of their contents.

Scientific publications use tables to represent results and associations. Tables are simple representations of information that show relationships between concepts. People identify tables within documents easily, but given the number of documents to analyze, the identification is time consuming. Performing this task automatically is difficult due to the variety of document formats (e.g., PDF, HTML), tables' layouts and types of information.

The main challenge in detecting tables in digital documents is that tables are mixed with other elements, such as figures and text. Also, the PDF documents present more challenges to detect tables due to the lack of table tags. Our approach focuses on PDF documents.

Current methods (Liu et al. 2007; Oro and Ruffolo 2009) for automatic table detection and extraction in PDF documents are useful, but still have some restrictions. These restrictions include detection of tables only in documents with single column, detection relying on patterns not present in all tables, and extraction lacking elements needed to accurately reconstruct the information provided in a table. To overcome these limitations, we propose the *TAble Organization (TAO)* system that not only provides automatic table detection and extraction in digital documents, but also generates a detailed representation of table elements for structural and functional analyses.

TAO is efficient, comprehensive and robust. It is efficient because it takes advantage of the structure formed by tables, avoiding an exhaustive search of table elements where there is no such structure. It is comprehensive because it discovers metadata (e.g., header, font, data type, coordinates) and data from tables embedded in a document. Finally, TAO is robust because it does not depend on fixed patterns or layouts to detect tables, and it is able to detect them on different layout documents (see Section 2). Even though scientific publications are an important target for TAO, its methods serve the purpose of extracting information from any PDF document containing tables. TAO is compared to related work (see Section 3,4). TAO's output portable format facilitates information organization and sharing, and further analysis (see Section 5).

Our main contributions are: 1) an adaptive method to detect and extract tables' information, 2) an annotated representation of the information extracted from tables for further structural, functional, and semantic analyses, and 3) a webbased prototype of the system at http://integra.cs.unm.edu.

2 Table Detection and Extraction

The TAble Organization (TAO) system is capable of detecting and recognizing tables within PDF documents. *Table detection* is the process of identifying tables from a document, and *table recognition* of identifying and extracting the cells contained in a table (Hu et al. 1999). To acomplish this processing, TAO combines a supervised machine learning method with layout heuristics, and consists of three main

Copyright © 2016, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

modules: 1) document conversion, 2) table detection, and 3) table extraction, (see Figure 1).

TAO's input is a PDF document. Using PDFMiner, the PDF document is converted to an XML format containing all elements in the document (e.g., characters, figure's placeholders, layouts). PDFMiner's output includes separate XML tags for every character and every space. The second module, table detection, parses this large XML file and identifies table candidates, storing them in a per-page fashion. The third module, table extraction, uses the table candidates to find particular cells and their content. Once the tables are identified, this module augments tables with information regarding their metadata and position within the document. TAO's output is a JSON document with the table's information and augmented information extracted from the PDF. Optionally, this information can be stored in a NOSQL database for easy access and search. In the following sections, we describe the methods built into the system to perform automatic table detection and extraction.



Figure 1: The TAble Organization (TAO) system

Document Conversion

Document conversion uses the PDFMiner (Shinyama 2010) extraction tool, which allows converting PDF documents to text, HTML, XML, and tagged PDF formats. PDFMiner outputs the coordinates of the text, font style and size for each character into an XML file. We evaluated other converters (Noonburg 2009; Litchfield 2004), but we selected PDFMiner because it analyzes the structure of the information on each page, providing a detailed hierarchy of grouped elements based on their spatial relationships in the document. In addition, PDFMiner has been maintained and widely adopted.

PDFMiner converts a PDF file into an XML representation, and generates a *body* and a *layout* for each page of the document. The body is formed by *text boxes*, *text lines* and *text* (see Figure 3 for an example). Text boxes include an identifier (id), and the coordinates (x_1, y_1) represent topleft and (x_2, y_2) bottom-right corners of the bounding box (bbox). Text boxes are composed of text lines. Text lines are composed of *text* tags. Each text tag contains a single character. Characters grouped in text lines form one or more words. A text line also includes its corresponding bounding box (bbox) coordinates. PDFMiner provides coordinates, text font, and text size for each character.

The layout includes elements such as text groups and text boxes. *Text box* elements of the layout are also found in the body. The difference is that in the layout, *text boxes* are organized into *text groups*, indicating the coordinates of the information structure. In the body, *text boxes* contain all the page information, character by character. PDFMiner's output is comprehensive and long. For example, for a 10-page IEEE research paper, PDFMiner outputs approximately 60,000 lines, which varies with a document's content. Therefore, it is practically impossible to parse, detect and extract information manually, and not a trivial task to do so automatically. In the remainder of this section, we use TAO to parse this XML output and generate cleanly extracted and annotated table structures.

Table Detection

Our table detection module identifies tables within the layout of the XML output provided by PDFMiner. This output does not discriminate between text in normal paragraphs, tables or figures. The document structure elements are represented by *group boxes* and *text boxes*. Thus, TAO performs a structural analysis to identify sets of *text boxes* that are probable table candidates. The table detection process includes four steps: 1) comprehensive identification of *text boxes* within *text groups*, 2) distance calculation among *text boxes*, 3) identification of structural relationships, and 4) generation of table candidates.

In PDFMiner's output, the coordinates of a page are topleft (0,0) and bottom-right (approximately 750,850). Text boxes are contained within text groups in the layout of each page. A text box may contain one or more table elements. For each *text group*, we identify the set T of all *text boxes* in it. In the table detection process, we first create a list containing all the *text box* identifiers (*id*) on each page. Then, for each pair (a,b) of *text boxes* \in *T*, we compute their Manhattan distance using their top-left (x_1, y_1) and bottom-right (x_2, y_2) coordinates. We store the proximity calculations in an upper-traingular matrix M_{diff} . Each element in the matrix contains the distance of the top-left coordinates between a and b for the first corners of the text boxes $M_{diff}[a, b, 1] =$ $[(a.x_1-b.x_1), (a.y_1-b.y_1)]$ and the distance of the bottomright coordinates between a and b for the second corners of the text boxes $M_{diff}[a, b, 2] = [(a.x_2 - b.x_2), (a.y_2 - b.y_2)].$ The distance matrix M_{diff} contains the proximity of *text* box pairs, defining global structural relationships (i.e., rows and columns) among multiple text boxes. To identify multiple columns, a layout heuristic detects columns when two or more text boxes have an alignment, (i.e., text boxes intersect horizontally). In the same way, a heuristic for vertical intersection indicates that the elements form a row. Through experimentation we learned that the alignments have minimal variation because the coordinates of each page are fixed. Because columns are generally aligned to the left, right or center, we find more variation in the column alignment than in rows. Therefore, we detect columns, comparing alignment with a threshold distance of at most $t = \pm 23$ points to either side (i.e., about 2 characters with font size 10). For rows, the thresholds are smaller because they have minimal top or bottom alignments. Thus, to identify rows, the distance threshold is $t \pm 10$ (i.e., 1 character) for the separation above a *text box* and $t \pm 2$ for below.



Figure 2: Identification of structural relationships among text boxes

Pairs whose proximity is less than or equal to the thresholds are aligned, forming either a row or a column. All the text boxes in the same row or column are joined. The aligned text boxes represent the table candidates. Figure 2 shows six *text boxes* (a,b,c,d,e,f) with each one displaying their alignments as vertical or horizontal thin arrows. Boxes whose distance is within the threshold limits are grouped either vertically or horizontally, forming a preliminary structural organization of the table, which contains two columns and three rows.

Table Extraction

The table extraction module of TAO recognizes the actual tables, locating the cells (i.e., the intersection between a row and a column) that belong to a particular table, and storing the tables found on each page of the document. The text contained in each cell of the table is extracted and saved in an explicit data structure, including additional information related to the cell. The table extraction module performs the *Table recognition* and *Table composition* tasks.

In *Table recognition*, we use the body of the XML output produced by PDFMiner and table candidates obtained from the *Table detection* module. To extract words located in cells of the table, we follow a two-phase process: first, we identify cells and then reconstruct the text within each cell. To detect cells in the table, we use a similar approach to the one explained in the previous section, but instead of comparing and aligning *text boxes*, we compare *text lines*. The *text boxes* are a coarse representation of possible table layouts, while *text lines* are a more accurate way to detect specific table elements (i.e., words in table cells). Thus, for this step we also calculate an upper-triangular distance matrix M_{d2} to store the proximity between pairs of *text lines*.

Because we identify table information in diverse formats, a learning process to find table alignments is appropriate. We analyzed the k-nearest neighbor (K-NN) and locally weighted regressions methods, the former being faster and more efficient with 4 neighbors, uniform weighting, and Eucledian distance. Our analysis to determine parameters found no improvement to give more weight to closer neighbors. Therefore, the K-NN regression was applied to learn the threshold t, (i.e., maximum alignment in a column), to identify the ids of text lines in the same column. We use the scikit tool (Pedregosa et al. 2011). The training data contains 300 different samples with the font size of different tables and their alignment between columns. To avoid noise, we take the maximum alignment from the samples with the same font size, yielding 55 unique samples. Recall that the coordinates (x_1, y_1) represent the top-left and (x_2, y_2) bottom-right corners of the bbox. For each pair of text lines $(p,q) \in M_{d2}$, if $p.x_1 - q.x_1 < t$ and $p.x_2 - q.x_2 < t$ then (p,q) are in the same column, we add their *ids* to the list of columns LC. Similarly, if $p.y_1 - q.y_1 < fontsize$ and $p.y_2 - q.y_2 < fontsize$ then (p,q) are in the same row, we add their ids to the list of rows LR. The font size represents the threshold of row separation within tables because the alignment cannot be greater than the height of a single row, which measures at least the font size. To identify cells and reconstruct text within cells such as words and numbers. we use the lists of columns LC and rows LR obtained in the previous step. A cell in a table is the intersection of a column c in LC and a row r in LR, $cell[r, c] \leftarrow LR(r) \cap LC(c)$. The process outputs a file containing all the cells grouped by column and row, and its associated text line. The grouped text lines allow us to explore their associated text tags and extract all the words in a particular cell.

In text extraction, for each *text line* in a particular cell, we identify its children *text* tags and extract a) the particular character, b) text box id, c) text line id, d) *bbox* coordinates, e) text font, and f) text size. PDFMiner may generate *text line* identifiers unsorted. Thus, finding two consecutive *text line* ids in a table cell does not mean that the coordinates of these *text lines* are sorted in the original Cartesian plane. To solve this issue, we organize table elements using coordinates to produce sorted and aligned text for the table cell. Figure 3 shows the text reconstruction process for a cell composed of one *text line* containing four *text tags*.

<textline bbox="59.600,692.608,88.892,708.592"></textline>	
<text bbox="59.600,692.608,67.592,708.592" font=" TimesNewRoman-Bole</td><td>d" size="15.984">I</text>	
<text bbox="67.592,692.608,72.908,708.592" font=" TimesNewRoman-Bole</td><td>d" size="15.984">T</text>	
<text bbox="72.896,692.608,82.892,708.592" font=" TimesNewRoman-Bole</td><td>d" size="15.984">E</text>	
<text bbox="82.892,692.608,88.892,708.592" font=" TimesNewRoman-Bol</td><td>d" size="15.984">M/text></text>	
	¥
	ITEM

Figure 3: Text reconstruction from a text line in a table cell

To avoid redundant information, we verify that the cells contained in rows match the ones in columns. The cells are grouped by row, preserving the coordinates of each cell to locate the table cells' positions. Finally, the cells identified in a table and their contents are stored indicating the page on which they appear in the original document. Up to this point, multiple tables can still be stored together if they appear on the same page.

Table composition performs table separation in the doc-

ument, and classifies elements of tables as **header** or **data**. Header refers to a label representing table information (i.e., row or column headers), while data is the actual content or body of the table. The composition task retrieves all cells on a particular page, sorts coordinates of text cells grouped by row, and calculates row separation. If, for a specific pair of neighbor rows, this separation is larger than a threshold t_{table} , we classify and assign an identifier for a new table. To learn t_{table} , the K-NN regression method was applied as in table recognition. The training data contains 300 different samples of font size and row separation between tables. To avoid noise, we have 55 unique font sizes related to its maximum row separation.

Once each table is separated as an entity, cells are classified into data or header. The bold *font style* of the text in the cell classifies text as a header, even though it is not at the top row. This heuristic may fail for customized documents, but is general enough to capture relevant cell differences in documents. A cell is classified as a header when it it is in a top position that groups some cells under it. Using pattern matching, we also classify the cell content by data type (i.e., numeric or string of characters).

The output of this component is an explicit table representation that can be easily ported to a database for efficient search. The file contains a) extracted text of table cells grouped by row, indicating header (i.e., metadata) or data; b) provenance information associated with the cells consisting of identifiers of *text boxes* and *text lines* from where the text was identified; c) position of tables on each page; and d) metadata attributes including coordinates of the text, text size, text font, and data type. Figure 4 shows the extracted information from four table cells.



Figure 4: Sample of table cells represented by TAO

3 Evaluation

To evaluate the usefulness and generality of TAO compared to other PDF table extractors, a systematic assessment of its capability to detect tables and content was performed. Detecting tables means identifying all the tabular elements in the PDF documents, whether or not their content was recognized accurately. Thus, we computed *precision* and *recall*. *Precision* is the fraction of the correct number of tables identified divided by the total number of tables found. *Recall* is the fraction of correct tables found, divided by the total number of tables in the documents. The F1-measure combines precision and recall. Recognizing tables means correctly identifying and associating cell's content with a particular table. For the recognition task, table cells recognized were used to measure precision and recall.

We compared and contrasted the performance of TAO with respect to two other table extractors: Pdf-trex and TableSeer (see Section 4). Pdf-trex code was unavailable, thus we report the precision and recall published in (Oro and Ruffolo 2009). Because TableSeer's code was publicly available at http://tableseer.soft112.com/, we produced its outputs for all experiments.

The first dataset *TREX* described in (Oro and Ruffolo 2009) was publicly available at http://staff.icar.cnr.it/ruffolo/pdftrex/dataset.zip. This dataset was intended to be a standard benchmark for automatic table extraction with 100 PDF single-column documents in the English and Italian languages, containing more than 100 pages with around 150 tables. *TREX* does not reflect the format of standard research papers, but, rather, technical reports containing tables with a variety of formats and styles.

The second dataset, obtained from the Cornell University Library site at http://arxiv.org/, comprised of twenty scientific publications selected randomly. Two of them did not contain tables. This dataset, to which we refer to as *COR-NELL*, included one- and two-column documents containing more than 300 pages with 79 tables. This dataset allowed us to measure TAO's performance in multiple page scientific publications. Additionally, we used the *COMBINED* dataset results from the merging of the TREX and COR-NELL datasets to generate a more diverse one.

We prepared the gold standard, (i.e., the actual information from the tables in the datasets). A program compared the gold standard against the cells extracted and organized by TAO, and assisted to calculate the recall and precision.

Results and Discussion

In the first set of experiments, we compared TAO, Pdf-trex, and TableSeer using the TREX dataset. The TAO's output from this dataset yielded an F1-measure of 91.9% for table detection and 86.4% for recognition. Pdf-trex's results in (Oro and Ruffolo 2009) had an F1-measure of 91.7% for table detection and of 84.6% for recognition. For this dataset, we found 148 tables and Pdf-trex reports 164. We believe that this difference is because we did not account lists as tables. TAO's reliance on PDFMiner can be a limitation because this converter cannot extract information from a PDF file when the document is password protected or malformed. Still, PDFMiner converted 89 PDF documents out of 100. While TAO detected 137 tables out of the 148 and also identified 12, 504 correct table cells out of 14, 466, TableSeer performed badly for this set of experiments identifying only 18 tables, whose F1-measure was 21.6% for table detection and 5.0% for recognition. This poor performance is due to the fact that this dataset does not adhere to the common rigorous formatting standards for scientific publications. Therefore, TAO performed similarly to Pdftrex, but better than TableSeer. From the first experiment, we corroborated that TAO adapts the thresholds depending on the different PDF documents' font sizes. Because tables are composed of rows and columns in any language, TAO's structural approach was able to detect tables in Italian and

Table Detection				
Method	Precision	Recall	F1 measure	
TAO	0.903	0.886	0.895	
TableSeer	0.962	0.453	0.616	
Table Recognition				
Method	Precision	Recall	F1 measure	
TAO	0.884	0.894	0.889	
TableSeer	0.436	0.465	0.450	

Table 1: Experiments using *COMBINED*

English. The language poses a challenge for semantic analysis on table's cells.

Our second experiment used the CORNELL dataset. TAO's output yielded an F1-measure of 87.0% for Table detection and 91.1% for recognition. TableSeer yielded an F1measure of 84.9% for detection and 89.5% for recognition. TAO detected 67 tables, and TableSeer 62. Also, TAO detected 4,738 out of 6,003 text cells. For this and the next experiments, Pdf-trex was not evaluated because it was unavailable. One limitation of TAO using this dataset is that it produced more false positives (i.e., figures and equations) than TableSeer. To solve this problem, we need to detect the rows that do not contain table cells, but other elements, such as graphs. TAO may extract the information of two different cells into a unique cell. Even though this issue is related to PDFMiner's output, we need to analyze cells further to produce more accurate information. Although it is uncommon to find two consecutive horizontal tables, TAO needs to learn how to separate them. The distance between table rows and two consecutive tables may vary in a single document, making it more difficult for TAO to separate tables consistenly. However, TAO still performs satisfactorily.

Finally, for completeness and to quantitatively assess the generality of our method, we report aggregated experiments using the *COMBINED* dataset. Table 1 reports a summary for table detection and recognition for TAO and TableSeer. TAO is more robust and general because TableSeer depends on particular keywords to perform accurate Table Detection. TAO recognized and extracted 17,242 correct table cells, while TableSeer recognized only 6,389. Similarly, TAO is more general and robust than Pdf-trex because it can handle double-column documents and Pdf-trex cannot, as documented in (Oro and Ruffolo 2009).

The K-NN method produced rapid and accurate results using training data with characteristics of different table formats. The training sets can increment data from more table formats. TAO's prototype enables to submit a PDF document online, and receive the output by email with the organized table's information in JSON.

4 Related Work

This section describes briefly a) general definitions of table identification, b) document converters, and c) works that perform table identification and to which we compare TAO's performance.

Wang analyzes the importance of the physical and logical

elements in tables (Wang 1996), and describes the main table functions: to identify, understand, search and compare information. Hurst describes a model to represent tables, including graphical, physical, functional, structural and semantic components (Hurst 2000). We focus on table identification, which can be divided into table detection and recognition (Hu et al. 1999). TAO automatically performs these tasks, which are also known as table processing in (Embley et al. 2006). Table processing analyzes the physical and logical structures of a table. The physical structure of a table is the location of regions containing parts of the table, and the logical structure is the type of regions that compose the table (Zanibbi, Blostein, and Cordy 2004). Zanibbi et al. classify table processing according to the approach used to recognize tables, such as layout (Hu et al. 1999), heuristics (Liu et al. 2007) and statistical methods (Liu et al. 2009). TAO uses a combination of heuristics with the k-nn statistical method.

Different document formats contain tables in HTML, XML, text and PDF. We focus on the PDF format. Most PDF documents lack tags, which can indicate the existence of tables, such as in HTML. To facilitate the management and understanding of table information some works convert tables from PDF documents to another format, such as HTML (Hassan 2003). The converters assist extracting table information from digital documents, and include PDFBox used in (Liu et al. 2007), Jpedal used in (Hassan 2003), PDFlib TET used in (Liu et al. 2009), and PDFMiner (Shinyama 2010) used in TAO. PDFMiner also converts PDF documents to HTML. However, it does not identify table tags. The converters are powerful, but they may suffer from text sequence errors (Liu, Mitra, and Giles 2008), which occur when the converter tool shows the text in a different order than the original PDF document. However, some converters show coordinates to process the right order.

TableSeer (Liu et al. 2007), Pdf-trex (Oro and Ruffolo 2009) and TAO identify tables in PDF documents. Besides performing table identification, TableSeer is a table search engine that crawls digital libraries, indexes and ranks tables. TableSeer gets words from a PDF converter, and forms lines. From the lines, TableSeer detects table structure and metadata using heuristics rules based on font size, fixed pattern matching (e.g., TABLE), and white spaces. TAO is independent of using pattern matching to detect tables. TableSeer discovers other metadata for table search, such as captions and the publication's name. It also determines data type, layout metadata (e.g., number of columns and rows), and the position of a table within the document. However, for the table's content it only shows the coordinates of the columns. An extracted cell represented in a different position makes it difficult to recover the actual cell's position in a table. TAO's output contains metadata for a table's cell (e.g., coordinates, font style), providing the precise location and attributes required to reconstruct tables in their original format. Table-Seer and TAO depend on PDF converters.

Pdf-trex detects text and table areas, aligning and grouping text with spatial relationships. Tableseer and Pdf-trex search elements in a table line by line, which differs from TAO's top-down approach which identifies structure before analyzing the specific lines that may belong to a table. TAO and Pdf-trex use similar heuristics to detect columns and rows, allowing them to detect tables in documents in the English and Italian languages. Also, Pdf-trex uses an agglomerative hierarchical clustering algorithm to build segments and blocks that form table structure. Similar to TAO, Pdf-trex generates coordinates for an extracted table's information. However, Pdf-trex's output lacks other metadata attributes to recover a table's format and style. While Pdf-trex extracts tables only in single-column documents, TAO considers single- and multiple-column documents. A work in (Fang et al. 2011) detects multiple-columns. However, this work is unavailable for comparison.

TAO's web-based prototype enables a user to upload a pdf document, and receive organized table information by email. TAO can be configured to process multiple PDF documents and generate an output for each. TableSeer and Pdf-trex produce XML code to represent tables, while TAO produces a JSON format.

5 Conclusion and Future Work

The TAble Organization (TAO) system was developed to automatically detect, extract, and organize tables from PDF documents. TAO benefits from PDFMiner to convert PDF documents to XML format. We defined heuristics, training data, and learning methods to process the XML, detecting the location of the tables within the document and extracting the corresponding table cells.

TAO was implemented using *document conversion, table detection* and *extraction*; it generates its output in a JSON document for information interoperability. The table information from the original PDF document is preserved and enriched with data not displayed in the PDF document, such as text font and coordinates in table cells. The extra information helps locate the table cells' position and format for better representation. The output document can easily be stored and managed in a database to facilitate analysis, sharing, and collaboration.

We evaluated TAO on varied PDF documents with more than 225 tables, and compared its performance to related work. TAO overcame related work limitations. It performed satisfactorily not only on scientific documents, but also on non-scientific documents. In addition, it extracted tables' information on PDF documents with large and small number of pages, with single and double columns, and with various tables' formats.

We plan to use TAO's output to recover a table cells' information. To better understand tables (i.e., semantic analysis), we intend to discover context and semantic relationships for a table's information. The relationships exist in the text of a table's document, and related datasets. The semantic analysis of tables enables the integration of scientific knowledge with the semantic web.

6 Acknowledgments

The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for useful comments to improve this work. Martha Perez-Arriaga thanks the National Council of Science and Tecnology in Mexico (CONACyT).

References

Embley, D. W.; Hurst, M.; Lopresti, D.; and Nagy, G. 2006. Table-processing paradigms: a research survey. *International Journal of Document Analysis and Recognition (IJ-DAR)* 8(2-3):66–86.

Fang, J.; Gao, L.; Bai, K.; Qiu, R.; Tao, X.; and Tang, Z. 2011. A table detection method for multipage pdf documents via visual seperators and tabular structures. In *Document Analysis and Recognition*, 2011. 11th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, 779–783. IEEE.

Hassan, T. 2003. Pdf to html conversion. Technical report, University of Warwick.

Hu, J.; Kashi, R. S.; Lopresti, D. P.; and Wilfong, G. 1999. Medium-independent table detection. In *Electronic Imaging*, 291–302. International Society for Optics and Photonics.

Hurst, M. F. 2000. *The interpretation of tables in texts*. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Edinburgh, Scotland.

Litchfield, B. 2004. Pdfbox.

Liu, Y.; Bai, K.; Mitra, P.; and Giles, C. L. 2007. Tableseer: automatic table metadata extraction and searching in digital libraries. In *Proceedings of the 7th ACM/IEEE-CS joint conference on Digital libraries*, 91–100. ACM.

Liu, Y.; Bai, K.; Mitra, P.; and Giles, C. L. 2009. Improving the table boundary detection in pdfs by fixing the sequence error of the sparse lines. In *Document Analysis and Recognition*, 2009. 10th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition., 1006–1010. IEEE.

Liu, Y.; Mitra, P.; and Giles, C. L. 2008. Identifying table boundaries in digital documents via sparse line detection. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM conference on Information and knowledge management*, 1311–1320. ACM.

Noonburg, D. 2009. xpdf: A c++ library for accessing pdf.

Oro, E., and Ruffolo, M. 2009. Pdf-trex: An approach for recognizing and extracting tables from pdf documents. In *Document Analysis and Recognition, 2009. 10th Interna-tional Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition.*, 906–910. IEEE.

Pedregosa, F.; Varoquaux, G.; Gramfort, A.; Michel, V.; Thirion, B.; Grisel, O.; Blondel, M.; Prettenhofer, P.; Weiss, R.; Dubourg, V.; et al. 2011. Scikit-learn: Machine learning in python. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 12:2825–2830.

Shinyama, Y. 2010. Pdfminer: Python pdf parser and analyzer.

Wang, X. 1996. *Tabular abstraction, editing, and formatting.* Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Waterloo, Ontario.

Zanibbi, R.; Blostein, D.; and Cordy, J. R. 2004. A survey of table recognition. *Document Analysis and Recognition* 7(1):1–16.